

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/758,705	01/14/2004	Harry J. Last	DEN-1693.19	6556	
7:	590 07/12/2004		EXAM	INER	
NEWHOUSE & ASSOCIATES			FETSUGA, ROBERT M		
Twin Oaks Offi Suite 112	ice Plaza		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
477 Ninth Avenue			3751		
San Mateo, CA	A 94402-1854		DATE MAILED: 07/12/200	DATE MAILED: 07/12/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		·	W			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/758,705	LAST, HARRY J.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Robert M. Fetsuga	3751				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl' - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period of th	36(a). In no event, however, may a y within the statutory minimum of thi will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI a cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communicat BANDONED (35 U.S.C.§ 133).	ion.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Ja	<u>anuary 2004</u> .					
	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.I). 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
 6) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o 	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 14 January 2004 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 2005.	e: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☑ drawing(s) be held in abeya ction is required if the drawin	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in prity documents have bee au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	ے	 · .				

Application/Control Number: 10/758,705 Art Unit: 3751

- 1. Originally filed claims 13, 13-18 must be renumbered as claims 13, 14-19, respectively, in accordance with 37 CFR 1.126.
- 2. The drawings are objected to because reference numeral "101" apparently should be deleted from Figs. 1A and 1B, reference numeral "302" (upper) apparently should be --304-- (pg. 13 ln. 22) in Figs. 1A and 1B, reference numeral "106" apparently should be --206-- (pg. 14 ln. 7) in Fig. 3, reference characters "AA", "BB", "CC" and "DD" (pg. 14 lns. 9, 16, 18 and 25) are missing, reference character "EE" (pg. 15 ln. 6) is missing, and reference numerals "506" and "420" (pg. 17 lns. 8 and 24) are missing.

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the "actuator" set forth in claims 3 and 4, subject matter set forth in claims 15 and 16, "central shaft" set forth in claim 17, and "method" set forth in claim 19, must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Applicant is required to submit a proposed drawing correction in response to this Office action. Any proposal by applicant for amendment of the drawings to cure defects must consist of two parts:

Art Unit: 3751

a) A separate letter to the draftsperson in accordance with MPEP 608.02(r); and

b) A print or pen-and-ink sketch showing changes in red ink in accordance with MPEP $608.02\,(v)$.

IMPORTANT NOTE: The filing of new formal drawings to correct the noted defect may be deferred until the application is allowed by the examiner, but the print or pen-and-ink sketch with proposed corrections shown in red ink is required in response to this Office action, and may not be deferred.

3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: Page 12, lines 8 and 17, reference numeral "112" denotes different elements; page 14, line 7, and page 16, line 6, reference numeral "356" denotes different elements; page 15, line 10, "314" apparently should be --318--; and page 17, line 23, "106" apparently should be --206--.

Appropriate correction is required.

4. The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: Proper antecedent basis for the "coupled directly" limitation set forth in claim 15, "gear assembly" set forth in claim 16, "mechanical linkage" set forth in claims 17 and 19, and "method" steps set forth in claim 19, could not be

Art Unit: 3751

found in the specification. Applicant is reminded claim terminology in mechanical cases should appear in the descriptive portion of the specification by reference to the drawing(s).

5. Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Claim 1 recites "rotating the wheels ... thereby causing the cover drum enclosure to travel". Implementation of this subject matter is neither taught by the instant disclosure nor evident to the examiner. Page 14, lines 7-20, disclose wheels 310,312 as rotating in the same direction. This does not appear possible given the illustration in Fig. 3. Claims 7, 17 and 19 include a similar recitation.

6. Claims 1-6, 8-13 and 16-19 are rejected under 35
U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Claim 1 recites "an actuator ... for rotating the wheels".

The only actuator eluded to in the specification is the "master

Art Unit: 3751

hydraulic fluid valve/rotor cap/diverter valve assembly 420 which serves to distribute actuation..." (pg. 17 ln. 24).

Implementation of this subject matter is neither taught by the instant disclosure nor evident to the examiner. Claims 8, 9, 17 and 19 include a similar recitation.

7. Claims 6 and 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Claim 6 recites "the actuator is also connected to the central drive shaft...". Implementation of this subject matter is neither taught by the instant disclosure nor evident to the examiner. Claim 9 includes a similar recitation.

8. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

The claim recites "the cylinder piston rod is coupled directly to the drive cable." Implementation of this subject matter is neither taught by the instant disclosure nor evident to the examiner.

Art Unit: 3751

9. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

The claim recites "the cylinder piston rod is coupled to the drive cable via gear assembly." Implementation of this subject matter is neither taught by the instant disclosure nor evident to the examiner.

10. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claim is unclear as to whether the "central drive shaft" is intended to be part of the claimed combination since structure of the "traveling drum enclosure" is defined as being connected thereto (ln. 2), but no positive structural antecedent basis therefor has been defined.

11. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Art Unit: 3751

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 12. Claims 1-6 and 17-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Vorbach et al.

The Vorbach et al. (Vorbach) reference (Fig. 8) discloses a traveling drum enclosure comprising: a drum enclosure 45 including a plurality of wheels 47; an actuator 15; a drive cable 35; a central drive shaft (connected to 38); a remote power pack (paragraph 27, lines 13-19), as claimed. Re claims 2 and 3, note paragraph 27, lines 11-13.

13. Claims 1-6 and 17-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vorbach and Varnado.

Although the Vorbach traveling drum enclosure may not include a drum enclosure, as disclosed, attention is directed to the Varnado reference which discloses an analogous traveling drum enclosure which further includes a drum enclosure 12. Therefore, in consideration of Varnado, it would have been

Art Unit: 3751

obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to associate a drum enclosure with the Vorbach traveling drum enclosure in order to facilitate cleaning a cover.

14. Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vorbach alone, or taken with Varnado as applied to claims 1, 17 and 19 above, and further in view of Janetzko et al.

Although the Vorbach hydraulic fluid actuator may not include a cylinder/rod, as claimed, attention is directed to the Janetzko et al. (Janetzko) reference which discloses an analogous hydraulic fluid actuator which further includes a cylinder/rod 3,4. Therefore, in consideration of Janetzko, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to associate a cylinder/rod with the Vorbach hydraulic fluid actuator in order to utilize a common hydraulic fluid actuator.

15. Applicant is referred to MPEP 714.02 and 608.01(o) in responding to this Office action.

16. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Robert M. Fetsuga at telephone number 703/308-1506 who can be most easily reached Monday through Thursday.

Robert M. Fetsuga Primary Examiner Art Unit 3751 Page 8